

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,340	09/697,340 10/24/2000		Mary E. Brunkow	240083.501D4	3183
500	7590 03/26/2002 ED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC				
-		L PROPERTY	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH A SUITE 6300			KAUSHAL, SUMESH		
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1636	6
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002	ω

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/697,340	BRUNKOW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	S.Kaushal	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	DIVIO CET TO EVDIBE	4 MONTH(S) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mace are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum o iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) stute, cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	This action is non-final.					
,		matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-23 and 26-34</u> is/are pending ir	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-23 and 26-34</u> are subject to re	striction and/or election re	equirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection t						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required i						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S	5.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a	I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2((a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

đ

Art Unit: 1636

5

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary amendment filed on 10/24/00 has been acknowledged.

Claims 1-11 and 24-25 were canceled.

Claims 12-23 and 26-34 were pending and were examined in this office action

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 12-13 and 17, drawn to isolated Fkh^{sf} polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 14-16, drawn to an antibody against Fkh^{sf} polypeptide, classified in class
 530, subclass 387.1.
- III. Claims 18-19, drawn to method of detecting Fkh^{sf} nucleic acid sequence in a biological sample, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- IV. Claims 20-23, drawn to method of detecting Fkh^{sf} polypeptide in a biological sample, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- V. Claims 26-33, drawn to a method of introducing Fkh^{sf} nucleic acid into an animal, classified in class 424, subclass 93.2.
- VI. Claim 34, drawn to a transgenic non-human animal encoding Fkh^{sf} protein, classified in class 800, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/697,340

Art Unit: 1636

ę,

Inventions I, II, III, IV, V and VI are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the Fkh^{sf} polypeptide, antibody and transgenic animal encoding Fkh gene are structurally and functionally different products with different uses. Furthermore, the method of detecting nucleic acid requires the screening of mutations in a nucleic acid sample obtained from a patient using a nucleic acid hybridization techniques (NB or SB), whereas the method of identifying a protein requires contacting blood samples obtained from a patient with an antibody conjugated to detectable label (ELISA, WB). In addition, the method of introducing genes into an animal requires the delivery of recombinant genes into the host cells via viral or non viral vectors that leads to gene expression into the transduced cells in vivo. Thus, inventions as claimed are mutually exclusive and are of separate use.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Application/Control Number: 09/697,340

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-6838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Irem. Yucel can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax-phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the patent analyst Zeta Adams, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3291.

S. Kaushal

Patent examiner

REMY YUCEL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600